

Demand Models and Expenditure Models: A Letter to Editor

Enayatollah Homaie Rad ^{1,*}

¹Department of Health Economics and Management, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Enayatollah Homaie Rad, Department of Health Economics and Management, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-2177266861, E-mail: e-homaierad@razi.tums.ac.ir

Received: November 6, 2014; Accepted: January 17, 2015

Keywords: Health Expenditures; Drug Utilization; Iran

Dear Editor,

An article entitled “Drug Demand Function for Iranian Urban Households Based on Households’ Budget” (1) was published in your journal recently. I have read this article and found several basic errors in it:

1. The dependent variable of model could not be true. Authors used drug spending as a dependent variable. Drug expenditures (spending) contain both the quantities and the prices. In a demand model, the dependent variable must be only quantities. By using drug expenditures as a dependent variable, it seems that the drug spending model had been estimated. In some studies, because of hardness of calculating the quantity of drug utilization in macroeconomics, authors used instrumental variables like drug spending, but they had emphasized that they calculated the expenditures, not the demand function (2).

2. In the article, authors claimed that they had calculated price elasticity of demand. As shown above, expenditures are different from demand. Increasing in drug prices, would decrease the demand for drugs, but its effects on drug expenditures could not be calculated easily, because the expenditures contain both prices that have been increased, and the quantities that have been decreased. The changes depend on the price elasticity for the drug (3).

3. A surprising econometric method was used to estimate the effects of explanatory variables on drug expenditures in the article. This method had been disaffirmed all econometric methods established in the century. First, unit root is basically different from autocorrelation. The

authors used unit root tests for testing autocorrelation in the model. Second, some of the variables had unit root. The authors decided to eliminate these variables from the base model. It was very wrong! By eliminating the variables from the model, the model would face with specifying bias and the results could not be accurate. There are lots of discussions for the unit root approach (like cointegration, vector autoregressive) and none of them had discussed to eliminate the nonstationary variables. Eliminating the variables was basically wrong (4-7).

References

1. Ravangard R, Jafari A, Nouraei Motlagh S. Drug Demand Function for Iranian Urban Households Based on Households’ Budget. *J health scope*. 2014;3(3):18494.
2. Contoyannis P, Hurley J, Grootendorst P, Jeon SH, Tamblyn R. Estimating the price elasticity of expenditure for prescription drugs in the presence of non-linear price schedules: an illustration from Quebec, Canada. *Health Econ*. 2005;14(9):909-23.
3. Samadi A, Homaie Rad E. Determinants of Healthcare Expenditure in Economic Cooperation Organization (ECO) Countries: Evidence from Panel Cointegration Tests. *Int J Health Policy Manag*. 2013;1(1):63-8.
4. Verbeek M. *A guide to modern econometrics*. 3rd ed. Manhattan: John Wiley and Sons; 2008.
5. Johansen S. Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*. 1988;12(2-3):231-54.
6. Johansen S. *Likelihood-based inference in cointegrated vector autoregressive models*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
7. Homaie Rad E. Comparison the Effects of Health Indicators on Male and Female Labor Supply, Evidence from Panel Data of Eastern Mediterranean Countries 1995-2010. *Iran J Public Health*. 2014;43(2):221-8.