



# Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of Enterοaggregative *E. coli*, as the Most Common Diarrheagenic *E. coli*, Associated to Gastroenteritis Outbreaks in Iran

Mohammad Mehdi Soltan-Dallal,<sup>1,2</sup> Mohsen Karami-Talab,<sup>1</sup> Maneli Aminshahidi,<sup>3</sup> Amir Arastehfar,<sup>3</sup> and Fereshteh Fani<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Food Microbiology, Department of Pathobiology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Food Microbiology Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Professor Alborzi Clinical Microbiology Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Fars, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Fereshteh Fani, PhD, Nemazee Hospital, Zand St, P. O. Box 7193613311, Shiraz, Fars, Iran. Tel/Fax: +98-7136122244, E-mail: fe\_fani@sums.ac.ir

Received 2016 December 18; Revised 2017 January 22; Accepted 2017 July 01.

## Abstract

**Background:** Acute diarrhea, a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, still remains a major global health problem, especially among children in the developing countries. Diarrheagenic *E. coli* represent one of the most common etiological causes of diarrhea in children worldwide.

**Objectives:** This study was conducted in order to determine the rate of Enterοaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) among 50 *E. coli* isolates as well as its antimicrobial resistance patterns.

**Methods:** A total of 50 *Escherichia coli* strains had been isolated among children under 5 years of age during 75 reported outbreaks in various provinces of Iran from October 2013 to May 2014. PCR was employed for the identification of different groups of diarrheagenic *E. coli*. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using disc diffusion methods. In addition, extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) production ability was checked by way of combination disc methods of CLSI. Minimum inhibitory concentration of cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidime in EAEC with the ability of ESBL production was determined using the micro-broth dilution method of CLSI.

**Results:** Out of the 50 *E. coli* isolates, 17 were identified as Enterοaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) in that they were positive for at least 1 of the 2 tested virulent genes: *agg* and *aap*. ESBL production ability was observed in 4/17 (23.5%) EAEC isolates. MIC of cefotaxime and ceftriaxone in ESBL positive EAEC varied between 8 - 32  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  and 8 - 64  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ , respectively. Resistance to ampicillin and nalidixic acid (47.1%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (41.2%), and ciprofloxacin (11.8%) was observed among the EAEC isolates. No evidence of resistance to gentamycin and meropenem was detected.

**Conclusions:** This research has revealed that the most common type of diarrheagenic *E. coli* among children, who were affected in the diarrheal outbreak in different cities of the country, is Enterοaggregative *E. coli* (34%). The rate of ESBL positive cases in EAEC isolates were 23.5%.

**Keywords:** ESBL, Diarrhea, Outbreak, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Enterοaggregative *E. coli*

## 1. Background

Diarrhea in children remains an important public health concern in developing countries. It accounts for over 80% of diseases in Africa and South Asia (1). *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) are the predominant commensal organism found in the human intestine. However, some strains of *E. coli* have acquired specific virulence factors and have developed the ability to cause a variety of diseases in the gastrointestinal tract, urinary, as well as central nervous system (2, 3). Diarrheagenic *E. coli* represent 1 of the most common etiological causes of community-acquired diarrhea in children worldwide (1, 4). Among the *E. coli* that cause diar-

rhea, there are at least 6 well-described groups, each corresponding to a distinct clinical syndrome with distinct epidemiological and pathologic schemes. Enterοaggregative *E. coli* was first discovered in 1987 by Nataro and his colleagues (5) and was commonly recognized as a cause of endemic and epidemic diarrhea worldwide (6, 7). Diarrhea caused by this diarrheagenic *E. coli* is often watery, but could also be accompanied by mucous and blood (4, 5). Enterοaggregative *E. coli* is identified by the presence of the known virulence genes including an enterοaggregative heat stable toxin (*astA*), a transcriptional activator (*aggR*), and a dispersin secretory protein (*aap*) (2, 8).

Since the laboratories cannot differentiate diarrheagenic *E. coli* from the non-pathogenic *E. coli*, which resides in the intestine as a normal flora, we made use of multiplex PCR based on virulence gene detection (2, 5). In this study we report the rate of EAEC among 50 *E. coli* strains, which had been isolated from children under 5 years of age during 75 reported outbreaks from October 2013 to May 2014. We also report the antimicrobial resistance patterns among EAEC isolates.

## 2. Methods

The department of pathobiology in Tehran University of Medical Sciences, school of public health, Iran, has been involved in finding the causative factors for the various diarrheal outbreaks in the country. When 2 or more individuals present similar symptoms and experience a similar illness after the ingestion of common food or water, this is considered a case of foodborne outbreak.

Between October 2013 to May 2014, after province and local health departments reported outbreaks to the National Institute of Health (NIH) of Iran, rectal-swab samples are either transported to the referral laboratory of the NIH immediately or placed into a transport medium and analyzed for the presence of bacteria by standard culture methods. A total of 300 patients (184 males and 116 females, aged between 1 and 60 years) were enrolled in this study. Initially, all the colonies suspected of *E. coli* from MacConkey agar were identified by standard biochemical tests. API 20E (Biomerieux, France) was performed for confirmation. Several enteric pathogens other than *E. coli* (e.g. *Shigella*, *Salmonella*) were isolated during the outbreaks. However, since the focus of the study was on the 50 strains of *E. coli*, only these (Table 1) were sent to professor Alborzi clinical microbiology research center in Shiraz, Fars, for further study. They were then examined by PCR to identify any Enterotoxigenic *E. coli*.

### 2.1. Polymerase Chain Reaction to Detect Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* Virulence Genes

In order to identify Enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, the associated specific primers *aggR* and *aap* were used. The DNA was extracted using PEG-200 alkaline buffer (8). PCR amplification was performed using the primers *aggR-F* (GTATACACAAAAGAAGGAAGC) as well as *aggR-R* (ACA-GAATCGTCAGCATCAGC) to generate a fragment of 254-bp (9) and the primers *aap-F* (GGCATCTGGGTATCAGCCTG) as well as *aap-R* (CCCATTCGGTTAGAGCACTATATT) to generate a 313-bp fragment (designed specifically for this study). PCR reaction was performed in the final volume of 50  $\mu$ L including a 5  $\mu$ L PCR buffer (Thermo scientific, Maxima Hot Start *Taq* DNA polymerase, EP0602), 2.5 mM of

MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Thermo scientific, Maxima Hot Start *Taq* DNA polymerase, EP0602), 0.4 ng of mixed dNTP (Thermo scientific, R0192), 15 picomol of each primer (Bioneer, South Korea), 2.5 units of *Taq* polymerase (Thermo scientific, Maxima Hot Start *Taq* DNA polymerase, EP0602), and 2  $\mu$ L of template. The solutions were then subjected to the following cycling condition: 94°C for 5 minutes, 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds (35 cycles), and a final extension step (72°C for 8 minutes) in a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystem, Veriti). Subsequently, 8  $\mu$ L of PCR product was subjected to gel electrophoresis (Bio-rad, Wide mini-sub<sup>®</sup> Cell GT) consisting of 1.5% Agarose (Invitrogen, 16500), stained using GelRed Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium, 41002), and visualized by gel documentation (UVItec, DBT-08). Positive control with genomic DNA from *E. coli* containing pCVD432 (*aggR+*, *aap+*) was made use of in the PCR reactions. *E. coli* strains that were positive for *aggR* or/and *aap* genes were interpreted as EAEC.

### 2.2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antibiotic susceptibility was determined using the Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method to the following commercially available antibiotics (Rosco Neo-Sensitabs Denmark): cefotaxime (30  $\mu$ g), ceftriaxone (30  $\mu$ g), ceftazidime (30  $\mu$ g), ampicillin (10  $\mu$ g), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (25  $\mu$ g), gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g), ciprofloxacin (5  $\mu$ g), meropenem (10  $\mu$ g), and nalidixic acid (30  $\mu$ g) following the CLSI 2014 guidelines. Furthermore, a minimum of 2 independent experiments were conducted to identify the resistant phenotype of the isolated pathogen against each antibiotic.

### 2.3. Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Production (ESBL) Detection

Isolates with resistance to cefotaxime or ceftazidime were screened for ESBL production through the combination disc method of CLSI, using cefotaxime and ceftazidime along with the discs to which clavulanic acid had been added. Zone diameters were determined using the HiAntibiotic zone scale (Himedia). A  $\geq$  5 mm increase in the zone diameter for both of the antimicrobial agents were tested in combination with clavulanate, versus the zone diameter of the agents when tested alone, which were considered as positive for ESBL production. MIC was performed through the micro-broth dilution method on the ESBL positive isolates using the following antibiotics: cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidime (Sigma).

## 3. Results

During the study period, October 2013 to May 2014, 10 provinces reported 75 outbreaks of foodborne illnesses. A

**Table 1.** Number of *E. coli* and EAEC Strains According to Province and Age Categories of Cases<sup>a</sup>

Province	Age Categories, mo						No. of <i>E. coli</i> (No. of EAEC, rate <sup>b</sup> )
	0 - 11	12 - 23	24 - 35	36 - 47	48 - 59	60 - 79	
Tehran	4 (2)	2 (0)	3 (1)	0 (0)	2 (1)	1 (0)	12 (4, 23.5)
Alborz	2 (1)	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (2, 11.8)
Yazd	0 (0)	6 (0)	1 (0)	4 (2)	1 (1)	0 (0)	12 (3, 17.7)
Hamadan	1 (1)	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	1 (1)	5 (2, 11.8)
Kurdistan	2 (1)	1 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (1, 5.8)
Mazandaran	0 (0)	1 (1)	3 (1)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	5 (2, 11.8)
Semnan	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (1)	0 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	3 (2, 11.8)
Zanjan	2 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (1, 5.8)
Hormozgan	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
Qazvin	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)
<b>No. of <i>E. coli</i> (No. of EAEC, rate<sup>b</sup>)</b>	<b>11 (5, 29.3)</b>	<b>13 (2, 11.8)</b>	<b>12 (3, 17.7)</b>	<b>6 (3, 17.7)</b>	<b>6 (3, 17.7)</b>	<b>2 (1, 5.8)</b>	<b>50 (17, 100%)</b>

<sup>a</sup>In each box the number of *E. coli* strains is indicated in the 1st row and the number of strains identified as EAEC is indicated in bold in 2nd row.

<sup>b</sup>The rate of EAEC in each category in all the EAEC cases (17 strains) is indicated.

total of 50 culture-confirmed cases of *E. coli* were isolated. Among the 50 *E. coli* isolates, the PCR detected 17 EAEC, representing 34% of all the cases. Table 1 illustrates the age distribution for children from whom *E. coli* and EAEC strains were isolated. Among those with EAEC, 9 and 7 isolates were positive for *aggR* and *aap* genes respectively. Only 1 EAEC isolate was positive for both of the virulence genes.

The results of the antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the 17 EAEC isolates to 9 antibiotics and of their ESBL screening are illustrated in Table 2. The highest rate of resistance was observed to ampicillin and nalidixic acid in 47.1% (8/17) of cases. Resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole was detected in 41.2% (7/17) of cases. Resistance to cefotaxime and ceftriaxone was observed in 23.5% (4/17) of cases, while ceftazidime resistance was less than common (2/17, 11.8%). Resistance to ciprofloxacin was found in 2 (11.8%) of the isolates. There was no evidence of resistance to meropenem and gentamycin. The rate of ESBL positive cases in EAEC isolates was 23.5% (4/17). MIC of cefotaxime and ceftriaxone in ESBL positive EAEC was between 8 and 32 µg/mL and 8 and 64 µg/mL, respectively. MIC of ceftazidime in EAEC isolates varied between 4 and 8 µg/mL, still remaining in the susceptibility zone. Based on the results of the molecular method used for the detection of resistance genes, all the 4 EAEC isolates with the ability of ESBL production, turned to be positive only for CTX-M1 resistance gene from the 3 tested resistance genes: CTX-M1, SHV, and TEM genes (data not shown).

#### 4. Discussion

Enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) is a recently identified diarrheagenic *E. coli* that has been increasingly identified as a cause of acute and persistent diarrhea in both developed and developing countries (10). EAEC have been considered as a causative agent for diarrhea among sporadic cases across the country both in children and adults (11-15). The prevalence of EAEC among children with gastroenteritis was reported to be 18.2% in Tabriz (12). In another study carried out in Tehran, the prevalence of EAEC was reported 20% among children less than 5 years of age with acute diarrhea (11). This study has reported as a higher rate (i.e. as high as 34%) of EAEC among children compared to reports by other studies (11, 12); this is due to the fact that we have studied the cases of diarrheal outbreaks while others have looked at sporadic cases of gastroenteritis. Several outbreaks of gastroenteritis due to EAEC have been reported in Japan (16, 17), Korea (8), Italy (7), and UK (18). In 2015 our team, in professor Alborzi clinical microbiology research center has identified EAEC as a cause of gastroenteritis outbreak in Fars (south of Iran). However, the data has not been published yet.

A total of 17 EAEC isolated from 50 *E. coli* strains were tested in this research. A total of 94% of *E. coli* isolates that have been identified as EAEC in this study were positive for *aggR* or *aap* virulence genes. In Hamadan, Iran, Aslani et al., (11) used the *pCVD432* gene as a sole common indicator for the molecular detection of EAEC and then checked the prevalence of 5 other virulence genes including *aap*, *aggR*, *aafA*, *astA*, *aggA* among the EAEC isolates. A total of 80%

**Table 2.** Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of the EAEC Strains<sup>a</sup>

Pathogens and Province	Antibiotics									
	AMP	CTX	CAZ	CRO	MRP	SXT	NAL	GM	CIP	ESBL
EAEC1, T	R	S	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	Neg
EAEC2, T	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	Neg
EAEC3, M	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	R	Pos
EAEC4, H	R	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	Pos
EAEC5, A	R	R	S	R	S	R	R	S	R	Pos
EAEC6, K	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC7, Y	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC8, T	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC9, M	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC10, Y	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC11, S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC12, Z	R	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	Pos
EAEC13, A	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	Neg
EAEC14, Y	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Neg
EAEC15, S	R	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	Neg
EAEC16, H	R	S	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	Neg
EAEC17, T	R	S	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	Neg
<b>Total resistance (percentage<sup>b</sup>)</b>	8 (47.1)	4 (23.5)	2 (11.8)	4 (23.5)	0 (0)	7 (41.2)	8 (47.1)	0 (0)	2 (11.8)	4 (23.5)

Abbreviations: A, Alborz; AMP, Ampicillin; CAZ, Cefazidime; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; CRO, Ceftriaxone; CTX, Cefotaxime; EAEC: Enterogaagregative *E. coli*; ESBL, Extended - Spectrum Beta Lactamase; GM, Gentamycin; H, Hamadan; K, Kurdistan; M, Mazandaran; MRP, Meropenem; NAL, Nalidixic Acid; S, Semnan; SXT, Trimethoprim Sulfamethazole; T, Tehran; Y, Yazd; Z, Zanjan.

<sup>a</sup>The pattern of antibiotic susceptibility and ability of ESBL producing beta lactamase of EAEC isolates are shown in this Table.

<sup>b</sup>Percentage: percentage of resistant cases in total number of EAEC isolates.

of the EAEC were positive for at least the *aap*, *aggR* genes, which could be considered as the most common virulence indicator for the detection of EAEC.

As shown in Table 2, the highest level of antibiotics resistance was observed to ampicillin and nalidixic acid (47.1%) and to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (41.2%) among EAEC strains. Based on the results presented in this research, ciprofloxacin and ceftriaxone seem to be more effective against EAEC. Since the prescription of fluoroquinolones to children is limited due to the arthropathy observed in juvenile animals (19), ceftriaxone could be a more suitable choice for the treatment of diarrhea caused by EAEC in the country. However, considering the 23.5% ESBL production ability observed among the EAEC, the prescription of third-generation cephalosporins in the treatment of diarrhea in children should be controlled. In the ESBL positive cases, ceftazidime resistance was observed less frequently in comparison to cefotaxime and ceftriaxone (Table 2). This can be accounted for by the fact that there are different Beta lactamses in terms of genotypes

with different hydrolysis activities in the presence of different types of cephalosporins.

Although the levels of antimicrobial resistance among the EAEC remain high in the country (13, 14, 20), the resistance patterns are varied in different regions. Local information on antimicrobial resistance patterns should be updated regularly in order to adopt the most appropriate empirical course of treatment.

#### 4.1. Conclusions

In conclusion, this research has revealed that the rate of EAEC among children in diarrheal outbreak is as high as 34%, indicating that 1/3 of *E. coli* strains, which are routinely reported as normal flora in patients with diarrhea, could in fact be EAEC. Therefore, the identification of *E. coli* strains at a patho-group level in diarrhea cases using PCR methods is needed to go over the research domain and it is necessary to consider it as part of the routine tests in microbiology labs.

## Acknowledgments

This paper is part of a research project approved by the food microbiology research center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences and health services contract No.31143. Fereshteh Fani has received her research grant 94-21 from professor Alborzi clinical microbiology research center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Mohsen Karami-Talab is a Master student at Tehran University of Medical Sciences, school of public health, Iran. This research is part of his thesis, which was carried out in professor Alborzi clinical microbiology research center as a collaboration with food microbiology research center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

## Footnote

**Authors' Contribution:** Study concept and design, Fereshteh Fani and Mohammad Mehdi Soltan-Dallal; analysis and interpretation of data, Fereshteh Fani, Mohammad Mehdi Soltan-Dallal, Mohsen Karami-Talab, Maneli Aminshahidi, and Amir Arastehfar; drafting of the manuscript, Fereshteh Fani; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, Mohammad Mehdi Soltan-Dallal; study supervision, Fereshteh Fani and Mohammad Mehdi Soltan-Dallal.

## References

- Nascimento DSF, Oenning Martins AL, Schuelter-Trevisol F. Incidence of acute diarrhea among children aged 0 - 1 year in Southern Brazil, 2012. *Arch Pediatr Infect Dis.* 2015;**3**(4). doi: [10.5812/pedinflect.28054](https://doi.org/10.5812/pedinflect.28054).
- Gomes TA, Elias WP, Scaletsky IC, Guth BE, Rodrigues JF, Piazza RM, et al. Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. *Braz J Microbiol.* 2016;**47** Suppl 1:3-30. doi: [10.1016/j.bjbm.2016.10.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjbm.2016.10.015). [PubMed: 27866935].
- Weintraub A. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli: epidemiology, virulence and detection. *J Med Microbiol.* 2007;**56**(Pt 1):4-8. doi: [10.1099/jmm.0.46930-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46930-0). [PubMed: 17172509].
- Nezarieh R, Shakibaie MR, Hosseini Nave H, Norouzi A, Salajegheh G, Hayatbakhsh M. Distribution of virulence genes, enterotoxin and biofilm formation among enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC) strains isolated from stools of children with diarrhea in South East Iran. *Arch Pediatr Infect Dis.* 2015;**3**(3). doi: [10.5812/pedinflect.29745v2](https://doi.org/10.5812/pedinflect.29745v2).
- Jafari A, Aslani MM, Bouzari S. Escherichia coli: a brief review of diarrheagenic pathotypes and their role in diarrheal diseases in Iran. *Iran J Microbiol.* 2012;**4**(3):102-17. [PubMed: 23066484].
- Huang DB, Nataro JP, DuPont HL, Kamat PP, Mhatre AD, Okhuysen PC, et al. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli is a cause of acute diarrheal illness: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006;**43**(5):556-63. doi: [10.1086/505869](https://doi.org/10.1086/505869). [PubMed: 16886146].
- Scavia G, Staffolani M, Fisichella S, Striano G, Colletta S, Ferri G, et al. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli associated with a foodborne outbreak of gastroenteritis. *J Med Microbiol.* 2008;**57**(Pt 9):1141-6. doi: [10.1099/jmm.0.2008/001362-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.2008/001362-0). [PubMed: 18719185].
- Shin J, Oh SS, Oh KH, Park JH, Jang EJ, Chung GT, et al. An Outbreak of Foodborne Illness Caused by Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli in a High School in South Korea. *Jpn J Infect Dis.* 2015;**68**(6):514-9. doi: [10.7883/yoken.jjidd.2014.460](https://doi.org/10.7883/yoken.jjidd.2014.460). [PubMed: 25971323].
- Gomez-Duarte OG, Arzuza O, Urbina D, Bai J, Guerra J, Montes O, et al. Detection of Escherichia coli enteropathogens by multiplex polymerase chain reaction from children's diarrheal stools in two Caribbean-Colombian cities. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2010;**7**(2):199-206. doi: [10.1089/fpd.2009.0355](https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0355). [PubMed: 19839760].
- Kaper JB, Nataro JP, Mobley HL. Pathogenic Escherichia coli. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2004;**2**(2):123-40. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro818](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro818). [PubMed: 15040260].
- Aslani MM, Alikhani MY, Zavari A, Yousefi R, Zamani AR. Characterization of enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC) clinical isolates and their antibiotic resistance pattern. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2011;**15**(2):e136-9. doi: [10.1016/j.ijid.2010.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2010.10.002). [PubMed: 21130676].
- Ahangarzadeh Rezaee M, Shahbazi G, Hasani A, Asgharzadeh M, Rahim-Rahimi AA, Alebouyeh M. Prevalence of enteroaggregative Escherichia coli among children with gastroenteritis in the northwest of Iran. *J Anal Res Clin Med.* 2015;**3**(3):183-9. doi: [10.15171/jarcm.2015.029](https://doi.org/10.15171/jarcm.2015.029).
- Bafandeh S, Haghi F, Zeighami H. Prevalence and virulence characteristics of enteroaggregative Escherichia coli in a case-control study among patients from Iran. *J Med Microbiol.* 2015;**64**(Pt 5):519-24. doi: [10.1099/jmm.0.000055](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000055). [PubMed: 25813820].
- Haghi F, Zeighami H, Hajiahmadi F, Khoshvaght H, Bayat M. Frequency and antimicrobial resistance of diarrhoeagenic Escherichia coli from young children in Iran. *J Med Microbiol.* 2014;**63**(Pt 3):427-32. doi: [10.1099/jmm.0.064600-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.064600-0). [PubMed: 24281909].
- Pourakbari B, Heydari H, Mahmoudi S, Sabouni F, Teymuri M, Ferdosian F, et al. Diarrhoeagenic E. coli pathotypes in children with and without diarrhoea in an Iranian referral paediatrics centre. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2013;**19**(7):617-21. [PubMed: 24975306].
- Itoh Y, Nagano I, Kunishima M, Ezaki T. Laboratory investigation of enteroaggregative Escherichia coli O untypeable:H10 associated with a massive outbreak of gastrointestinal illness. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1997;**35**(10):2546-50. [PubMed: 9316905].
- Yatsuyanagi J, Saito S, Sato H, Miyajima Y, Amano K, Enomoto K. Characterization of enteropathogenic and enteroaggregative Escherichia coli isolated from diarrheal outbreaks. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2002;**40**(1):294-7. doi: [10.1128/JCM.40.1.294-297.2002](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.1.294-297.2002). [PubMed: 11773137].
- Smith HR, Cheasty T, Rowe B. Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli and outbreaks of gastroenteritis in UK. *Lancet.* 1997;**350**(9080):814-5. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)62611-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)62611-6). [PubMed: 9298029].
- Lietman PS. Fluoroquinolone toxicities. An update. *Drugs.* 1995;**49** Suppl 2:159-63. doi: [10.2165/00003495-199500492-00026](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-199500492-00026). [PubMed: 8549287].
- Aslani MM, Ahrabi SS, Alikhani YM, Jafari F, Zali RM, Mani M. Molecular detection and antimicrobial resistance of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli strains isolated from diarrheal cases. *Saudi Med J.* 2008;**29**(3):388-92. [PubMed: 18327365].